

Notes of “Funktionen mehrerer Variablen”

Naoki Pross – naoki.pross@ost.ch

Spring Semester 2021

1 Preface

These are just my personal notes of the FuVar course, and definitively not a rigorously constructed mathematical text. The good looking L^AT_EX typesetting may trick you into thinking it is rigorous, but really, it is not.

2 Derivatives of vector valued scalar functions

Definition 1 (Partial derivative). A vector valued function $f : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, with $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^m$, has a partial derivative with respect to v_i defined as

$$\partial_{v_i} f(\mathbf{v}) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial v_i} = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(\mathbf{v} + h\mathbf{e}_i) - f(\mathbf{v})}{h}$$

Theorem 1. (Schwarz’s theorem, symmetry of partial derivatives) Under some generally satisfied conditions (continuity of n -th order partial derivatives) Schwarz’s theorem states that it is possible to swap the order of differentiation.

$$\partial_x \partial_y f(x, y) = \partial_y \partial_x f(x, y)$$

Application 1 (Find the slope of an implicit curve). Let $f(x, y) = 0$ be an implicit curve. It’s slope at any point where $\partial_y f \neq 0$ is $m = -\partial_x f / \partial_y f$

Definition 2 (Total differential). The total differential df of $f : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is

$$df = \sum_{i=1}^m \partial_{x_i} f \cdot dx_i$$

That reads, the *total* change is the sum of the change in each direction. This implies

$$\frac{df}{dx_k} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_k} + \sum_{i \in \{1 \leq i \leq m: i \neq k\}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \cdot \frac{dx_i}{dx_k},$$

i.e. the change in direction x_k is how f changes in x_k (ignoring other directions) plus, how f changes with respect to each other variable x_i times how it (x_i) changes with respect to x_k .

Application 2 (Linearization). A function $f : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ has a linearization g at \mathbf{x}_0 given by

$$g(\mathbf{x}) = f(\mathbf{x}_0) + \sum_{i=1}^m \partial_{x_i} f(\mathbf{x}_0)(x_i - x_{i,0}),$$

if all partial derivatives are defined at \mathbf{x}_0 . With the gradient (defined below) $g(\mathbf{x}) = f(\mathbf{x}_0) + \nabla f(\mathbf{x}_0) \cdot (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0)$.

Application 3 (Propagation of uncertainty). Given a measurement of m values in a vector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ with values given in the form $x_i = \bar{x}_i \pm \sigma_{x_i}$, a linear approximation the error of a dependent variable y is computed with

$$y = \bar{y} \pm \sigma_y \approx f(\bar{\mathbf{x}}) \pm \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^m (\partial_{x_i} f(\bar{\mathbf{x}}) \sigma_{x_i})^2}$$

Definition 3 (Gradient vector). The *gradient* of a function $f(\mathbf{x})$, $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ is a column vector¹ containing the derivatives in each direction.

$$\nabla f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^m \partial_{x_i} f(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{e}_i = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_{x_1} f(\mathbf{x}) \\ \vdots \\ \partial_{x_m} f(\mathbf{x}) \end{pmatrix}$$

Theorem 2. The gradient vector always points towards the *direction of steepest ascent*, and thus is always perpendicular to contour lines.

Definition 4 (Directional derivative). A function $f(\mathbf{x})$ has a directional derivative in direction \mathbf{r} (with $|\mathbf{r}| = 1$) of

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{r}} = \nabla_{\mathbf{r}} f = \mathbf{r} \cdot \nabla f$$

Definition 5 (Jacobian Matrix). The *Jacobian* \mathbf{J}_f (sometimes written as $\frac{\partial(f_1, \dots, f_m)}{\partial(x_1, \dots, x_n)}$) of a function $\mathbf{f} : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is a matrix $\in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ whose entry at the i -th row and j -th column is given by $(\mathbf{J}_f)_{i,j} = \partial_{x_j} f_i$, so

$$\mathbf{J}_f = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_{x_1} f_1 & \cdots & \partial_{x_n} f_1 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \partial_{x_1} f_m & \cdots & \partial_{x_n} f_m \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} (\nabla f_1)^t \\ \vdots \\ (\nabla f_m)^t \end{pmatrix}$$

Remark 1. In the scalar case ($m = 1$) the Jacobian matrix is the transpose of the gradient vector.

Definition 6 (Hessian matrix). Given a function $f : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the square matrix whose entry at the i -th row and j -th column is the second derivative of

¹In matrix notation it is also often defined as row vector to avoid having to do some transpositions in the Jacobian matrix and dot products in directional derivatives

f first with respect to x_j and then to x_i is known as the *Hessian* matrix. $(\mathbf{H}_f)_{i,j} = \partial_{x_i} \partial_{x_j} f$ or

$$\mathbf{H}_f = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_{x_1} \partial_{x_1} f & \cdots & \partial_{x_1} \partial_{x_m} f \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \partial_{x_m} \partial_{x_1} f & \cdots & \partial_{x_m} \partial_{x_m} f \end{pmatrix}$$

Because (almost always) the order of differentiation does not matter, it is a symmetric matrix.

3 Methods for maximization and minimization problems

3.1 Analytical methods

Method 1 (Find stationary points). Given a function $f : D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, to find its maxima and minima we shall consider the points

- that are on the boundary² of the domain ∂D ,
- where the gradient ∇f is not defined,
- that are stationary, i.e. where $\nabla f = \mathbf{0}$.

Method 2 (Determine the type of stationary point for 2 dimensions). Given a scalar function of two variables $f(x, y)$ and a stationary point \mathbf{x}_s (where $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}_s) = \mathbf{0}$), we define the *discriminant*

$$\Delta = \partial_x^2 f \partial_y^2 f - \partial_y \partial_x f$$

- if $\Delta > 0$ then \mathbf{x}_s is an extrema, if $\partial_x^2 f(\mathbf{x}_s) < 0$ it is a maximum, whereas if $\partial_x^2 f(\mathbf{x}_s) > 0$ it is a minimum;
- if $\Delta < 0$ then \mathbf{x}_s is a saddle point;
- if $\Delta = 0$ we need to analyze further.

Remark 2. The previous method is obtained by studying the second directional derivative $\nabla_{\mathbf{r}} \nabla_{\mathbf{r}} f$ at the stationary point in direction of a vector $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{e}_1 \cos(\alpha) + \mathbf{e}_2 \sin(\alpha)$.

Method 3 (Determine the type of stationary point in higher dimensions). Given a scalar function of multiple variables $f(\mathbf{x})$ and a stationary point \mathbf{x}_s ($\nabla f(\mathbf{x}_s) = \mathbf{0}$), we compute the Hessian matrix $\mathbf{H}_f(\mathbf{x}_s)$ and its eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$, then

- if all $\lambda_i > 0$, the point is a minimum;
- if all $\lambda_i < 0$, the point is a maximum;
- if there are both positive and negative eigenvalues, it is a saddle point.

²If it belongs to f .




Figure 1: Intuition for the method of Lagrange multipliers. Extrema of a constrained function are where ∇f is proportional to ∇n .

In the other cases, when there are $\lambda_i \leq 0$ and/or $\lambda_i \geq 0$ further analysis is required.

Remark 3. Recall that to compute the eigenvalues of a matrix, one must solve the equation $(\mathbf{H} - \lambda \mathbf{I})\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$. Which can be done by solving the characteristic polynomial $\det(\mathbf{H} - \lambda \mathbf{I}) = 0$ to obtain $\dim(\mathbf{H})$ λ_i , which when plugged back in result in a overdetermined system of equations.

Method 4 (Quickly find the eigenvalues of a 2×2 matrix). This is a nice trick. For a square matrix \mathbf{H} , let

$$m = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr } \mathbf{H} = \frac{a+d}{2}, \quad p = \det \mathbf{H} = ad - bc,$$

then $\lambda_{1,2} = m \pm \sqrt{m^2 - p}$.

Method 5 (Search for a constrained extremum in 2 dimensions). Let $n(x, y) = 0$ be a constraint in the search of the extrema of a function $f : D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. To find the extrema we look for points

- on the boundary² $\mathbf{u} \in \partial D$ where $n(\mathbf{u}) = 0$;
- \mathbf{u} where the gradient either does not exist or is $\mathbf{0}$, and satisfy $n(\mathbf{u}) = 0$;
- that solve the system of equations

$$\begin{cases} \partial_x f(\mathbf{u}) \cdot \partial_y n(\mathbf{u}) = \partial_y f(\mathbf{u}) \cdot \partial_x n(\mathbf{u}) \\ n(\mathbf{u}) = 0 \end{cases}$$

Method 6 (Search for a constrained extremum in higher dimensions, method of Lagrange multipliers). We wish to find the extrema of $f : D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ under $k < m$ constraints $n_1 = 0, \dots, n_k = 0$. To find the extrema we consider the following points:

- Points on the boundary² $\mathbf{u} \in \partial D$ that satisfy $n_i(\mathbf{u}) = 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k$,
- Points $\mathbf{u} \in D$ where either
 - any of $\nabla f, \nabla n_1, \dots, \nabla n_k$ do not exist, or
 - $\nabla n_1, \dots, \nabla n_k$ are linearly dependent,
 and that satisfy $0 = n_1(\mathbf{u}) = \dots = n_k(\mathbf{u})$.
- Points that solve the system of $m+k$ equations

$$\begin{cases} \nabla f(\mathbf{u}) = \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i \nabla n_i(\mathbf{u}) & (m\text{-dimensional}) \\ n_i(\mathbf{u}) = 0 & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq k \end{cases}$$

The λ values are known as *Lagrange multipliers*. The same calculation can be written more compactly by defining the *Lagrangian*

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{u}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) = f(\mathbf{u}) - \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i n_i(\mathbf{u}),$$

where $\boldsymbol{\lambda} = \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$ and then solving the $m+k$ dimensional equation $\nabla \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{u}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \mathbf{0}$ (this is generally used in numerical computations and not very useful by hand).

3.2 Numerical methods

Method 7 (Newton's method). For a function $f : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ we wish to numerically find its stationary points (where $\nabla f = \mathbf{0}$).

1. Pick a starting point \mathbf{x}_0
2. Set the linearisation³ of ∇f at \mathbf{x}_k to zero and solve for \mathbf{x}_{k+1}

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla f(\mathbf{x}_k) + \mathbf{H}_f(\mathbf{x}_k)(\mathbf{x}_{k+1} - \mathbf{x}_k) &= \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{x}_{k+1} &= \mathbf{x}_k - \mathbf{H}_f^{-1}(\mathbf{x}_k) \nabla f(\mathbf{x}_k) \end{aligned}$$

3. Repeat the last step until the magnitude of the error $|\epsilon| = |\mathbf{H}_f^{-1}(\mathbf{x}_k) \nabla f(\mathbf{x}_k)|$ is sufficiently small.

Method 8 (Gradient ascent / descent). Given $f : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ we wish to numerically find the stationary points (where $\nabla f = \mathbf{0}$).

1. Define an arbitrarily small length η and a starting point \mathbf{x}_0
2. Compute $\mathbf{v} = \pm \nabla f(\mathbf{x}_k)$ (positive for ascent, negative for descent), then $\mathbf{x}_{k+1} = \mathbf{x}_k + \eta \mathbf{v}$ if the rate of change ϵ is acceptable ($\epsilon = |\nabla f(\mathbf{x}_{k+1})| > 0$) else recompute $\mathbf{v} := \pm \nabla f(\mathbf{x}_{k+1})$.
3. Stop when the rate of change ϵ stays small enough for many iterations.

³The gradient becomes a hessian matrix.




Figure 2: Double integral.

4 Integration of vector valued scalar functions

Theorem 3 (Change the order of integration for double integrals). For a double integral over a region S (see Fig. 2) we need to compute

$$\iint_S f(x, y) ds = \int_{x_1}^{x_2} \int_{y_1(x)}^{y_2(x)} f(x, y) dy dx.$$

If $y_1(x)$ and $y_2(x)$ are bijective we can swap the order of integration by finding the inverse functions $x_1(y)$ and $x_2(y)$. If they are not bijective (like in Fig. 2), the region must be split into smaller parts. If the region is a rectangle it is always possible to change the order of integration.

Theorem 4 (Transformation of coordinates in 2 dimensions). Given two “nice” functions $x(u, v)$ and $y(u, v)$, that means are a bijection from S to S' with continuous partial derivatives and nonzero Jacobian determinant $|\mathbf{J}_f| = \partial_u x \partial_v y - \partial_v x \partial_u y$, which transform the coordinate system. Then

$$\iint_S f(x, y) ds = \iint_{S'} f(x(u, v), y(u, v)) |\mathbf{J}_f| ds$$

Theorem 5 (Transformation of coordinates). The generalization of theorem 4 is quite simple. For an m -integral of a function $f : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ over a region B , we let $\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{u})$ be “nice” functions that transform the coordinate system. Then as before

$$\int_B f(\mathbf{x}) ds = \int_{B'} f(\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{u})) |\mathbf{J}_f| ds$$

Application 4 (Physics). Given the mass m and density function ρ of an object, its *center of mass* is calculated with

$$\mathbf{x}_c = \frac{1}{m} \int_V \mathbf{x} \rho(\mathbf{x}) dv \stackrel{\rho \text{ const.}}{=} \frac{1}{V} \int_V \mathbf{x} dv.$$

	Volume dv	Surface ds
Cartesian	–	$dx dy$
Polar	–	$r dr d\phi$
Curvilinear	–	$ \mathbf{J}_f du dv$
Cartesian	$dx dy dz$	$\hat{\mathbf{z}} dx dy$
Cylindrical	$r dr d\phi dz$	$\hat{\mathbf{z}} r dr d\phi$ $\hat{\phi} dr dz$ $\hat{\mathbf{r}} r d\phi dz$
Spherical	$r^2 \sin \theta dr d\theta d\phi$	$\hat{\mathbf{r}} r^2 \sin \theta d\theta d\phi$
Curvilinear	$ \mathbf{J}_f du dv dw$	–

Table 1: Differential elements for integration.

The (scalar) *moment of inertia* J of an object is given by

$$J = \int_V \rho(\mathbf{r}) r^2 dv.$$

5 Parametric curves and line integrals

Definition 7 (Parametric curve). A parametric curve is a vector function $\mathcal{C} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow W \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n, t \mapsto \mathbf{f}(t)$, that takes a parameter t .

Definition 8 (Multivariable chain rule). Let $\mathbf{x} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ and $f : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, so that $f \circ \mathbf{x} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, then the multivariable chain rule states:

$$\frac{d}{dt} f(\mathbf{x}(t)) = \nabla f(\mathbf{x}(t)) \cdot \mathbf{x}'(t) = \nabla_{\mathbf{x}'(t)} f(\mathbf{x}(t))$$

Theorem 6 (Signed area enclosed by a planar parametric curve). A planar (2D) parametric curve $(x(t), y(t))^t$ with $t \in [r, s]$ that does not intersect itself encloses a surface with area

$$A = \int_r^s x'(t)y(t) dt = \int_r^s x(t)y'(t) dt$$

Theorem 7 (Derivative of a curve). The derivative of a curve is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{f}'(t) &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathbf{f}(t+h) - \mathbf{f}(t)}{h} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^n \left(\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f_i(t+h) - f_i(t)}{h} \right) \mathbf{e}_i \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^n \frac{df_i}{dt} \mathbf{e}_i = \left(\frac{df_1}{dt}, \dots, \frac{df_m}{dt} \right)^t \end{aligned}$$

Definition 9 (Line integral in a scalar field). Let $\mathcal{C} : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n, t \mapsto \mathbf{x}(t)$ be a parametric curve. The *line integral* in a field $f(\mathbf{x})$ is the integral of the signed area under the curve traced in \mathbb{R}^n , and is computed with

$$\int_{\mathcal{C}} f(\mathbf{x}) d\ell = \int_{\mathcal{C}} f(\mathbf{x}) |d\mathbf{x}| = \int_a^b f(\mathbf{x}(t)) |\mathbf{x}'(t)| dt$$

Application 5 (Length of a parametric curve). By computing the line integral of the function $\mathbf{1}(t) = 1$ we get the length of the parametric curve $\mathcal{C} : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$.

$$\int_{\mathcal{C}} d\ell = \int_{\mathcal{C}} |d\mathbf{x}| = \int_a^b \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n x'_i(t)^2} dt$$

In the special case with the scalar function $f(x)$ results in $\int_a^b \sqrt{1 + f'(x)^2} dx$

Definition 10 (Line integral in a vector field). The line integral in a vector field $\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x})$ is “sum” of the projections of the field’s vectors on the tangent of the parametric curve \mathcal{C} .

$$\int_{\mathcal{C}} \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{r}) \cdot d\mathbf{r} = \int_a^b \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{r}(t)) \cdot \mathbf{r}'(t) dt$$

Theorem 8 (Line integral in the opposite direction). By integrating while moving backwards ($-t$) on the parametric curve gives

$$\int_{-\mathcal{C}} \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{r}) \cdot d\mathbf{r} = - \int_{\mathcal{C}} \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{r}) \cdot d\mathbf{r}$$

Definition 11 (Conservative field). A vector field is said to be *conservative* the line integral over a closed path is zero.

$$\oint_{\mathcal{C}} \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{r}) \cdot d\mathbf{r} = 0$$

Theorem 9. For a twice partially differentiable vector field $\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x})$ in n dimensions without “holes”, i.e. in which each closed curve can be contracted to a point (simply connected open set), the following statements are equivalent:

- \mathbf{F} is conservative
- \mathbf{F} is path-independent
- \mathbf{F} is a *gradient field*, i.e. there is a function ϕ called *potential* such that $\mathbf{F} = \nabla \phi$
- \mathbf{F} satisfies the condition $\partial_{x_j} F_i = \partial_{x_i} F_j$ for all $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. In the 2D case $\partial_x F_y = \partial_y F_x$, and in 3D

$$\begin{cases} \partial_y F_x = \partial_x F_y \\ \partial_z F_y = \partial_y F_z \\ \partial_x F_z = \partial_z F_x \end{cases}$$

Theorem 10. In a conservative field \mathbf{F} with gradient ϕ , using the multivariable the chain rule:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathcal{C}} \mathbf{F} \cdot d\mathbf{r} &= \int_{\mathcal{C}} \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{r}(t)) \cdot \mathbf{r}'(t) dt \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{C}} \nabla \phi(\mathbf{r}(t)) \cdot \mathbf{r}'(t) dt \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{C}} \frac{d\phi(\mathbf{r}(t))}{dt} dt = \phi(\mathbf{r}(b)) - \phi(\mathbf{r}(a)) \end{aligned}$$

6 Surface integrals

7 Vector analysis

License

This work is licensed under a [“CC BY-NC-SA 4.0”](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/) license.

