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## 1 Preface

These are just my personal notes of the FuVar course, and definitively not a rigorously constructed mathematical text. The good looking $\mathrm{LA}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ typesetting may trick you into thinking it is rigorous, but really, it is not.

## 2 Derivatives of vector valued scalar functions

Definition 1 (Partial derivative). A vector valued function $f: \mathbb{R}^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, with $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$, has a partial derivative with respect to $v_{i}$ defined as

$$
\partial_{v_{i}} f(\mathbf{v})=\frac{\partial f}{\partial v_{i}}=\lim _{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f\left(\mathbf{v}+h \mathbf{e}_{i}\right)-f(\mathbf{v})}{h}
$$

Theorem 1. (Schwarz's theorem, symmetry of partial derivatives) Under some generally satisfied conditions (continuity of $n$-th order partial derivatives) Schwarz's theorem states that it is possible to swap the order of differentiation.

$$
\partial_{x} \partial_{y} f(x, y)=\partial_{y} \partial_{x} f(x, y)
$$

Application 1 (Find the slope of an implicit curve). Let $f(x, y)=0$ be an implicit curve. It's slope at any point where $\partial_{y} f \neq 0$ is $m=-\partial_{x} f / \partial_{y} f$
Definition 2 (Total differential). The total differential df of $f: \mathbb{R}^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is

$$
d f=\sum_{i=0}^{m} \partial_{x_{i}} f \cdot d x
$$

That reads, the total change is the sum of the change in each direction. This implies

$$
\frac{d f}{d x_{k}}=\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{k}}+\sum_{i \in\{1 \leq i \leq m: i \neq k\}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i}} \cdot \frac{d x_{i}}{d x_{k}}
$$

i.e. the change in direction $x_{k}$ is how $f$ changes in $x_{k}$ (ignoring other directions) plus, how $f$ changes with respect to each other variable $x_{i}$ times how it $\left(x_{i}\right)$ changes with respect to $x_{k}$.

Application 2 (Linearization). A function $f$ : $\mathbb{R}^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ has a linearization $g$ at $\mathbf{x}_{0}$ given by

$$
g(\mathbf{x})=f\left(\mathbf{x}_{0}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{m} \partial_{x_{i}} f\left(\mathbf{x}_{0}\right)\left(x_{i}-x_{i, 0}\right)
$$

if all partial derivatives are defined at $\mathbf{x}_{0}$. With the gradient (defined below) $g(\mathbf{x})=f\left(\mathbf{x}_{0}\right)+\nabla f\left(\mathbf{x}_{0}\right)$. $\left(\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{x}_{0}\right)$.

Application 3 (Propagation of uncertanty). Given a measurement of $m$ values in a vector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$ with values given in the form $x_{i}=\bar{x}_{i} \pm \sigma_{x_{i}}$, a linear approximation the error of a dependent variable $y$ is computed with

$$
y=\bar{y} \pm \sigma_{y} \approx f(\overline{\mathbf{x}}) \pm \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(\partial_{x_{i}} f(\overline{\mathbf{x}}) \sigma_{x_{i}}\right)^{2}}
$$

Definition 3 (Gradient vector). The gradient of a function $f(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$ is a column vector ${ }^{1}$ containing the derivatives in each direction.

$$
\nabla f(\mathbf{x})=\sum_{i=1}^{m} \partial_{x_{i}} f(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{e}_{i}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\partial_{x_{1}} f(\mathbf{x}) \\
\vdots \\
\partial_{x_{m}} f(\mathbf{x})
\end{array}\right)
$$

Theorem 2. The gradient vector always points towards the direction of steepest ascent, and thus is always perpendicular to contour lines.

Definition 4 (Directional derivative). A function $f(\mathbf{x})$ has a directional derivative in direction $\mathbf{r}$ (with $|\mathbf{r}|=1$ ) of

$$
\frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{r}}=\nabla_{\mathbf{r}} f=\mathbf{r} \cdot \nabla f
$$

Definition 5 (Jacobian Matrix). The Jacobian $\mathbf{J}_{f}$ (sometimes written as $\left.\frac{\partial\left(f_{1}, \ldots f_{m}\right)}{\partial\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)}\right)$ of a function $\mathbf{f}$ : $\mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m}$ is a matrix $\in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ whose entry at the $i$ th row and $j$-th column is given by $\left(\mathbf{J}_{f}\right)_{i, j}=\partial_{x_{j}} f_{i}$,
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$$
\mathbf{J}_{f}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\partial_{x_{1}} f_{1} & \cdots & \partial_{x_{n}} f_{1} \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\partial_{x_{1}} f_{m} & \cdots & \partial_{x_{n}} f_{m}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\left(\boldsymbol{\nabla} f_{1}\right)^{t} \\
\vdots \\
\left(\boldsymbol{\nabla} f_{m}\right)^{t}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Remark 1. In the scalar case ( $m=1$ ) the Jacobian matrix is the transpose of the gradient vector.

Definition 6 (Hessian matrix). Given a function $f: \mathbb{R}^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the square matrix whose entry at the $i$-th row and $j$-th column is the second derivative of $f$ first with respect to $x_{j}$ and then to $x_{i}$ is known as the Hessian matrix. $\left(\mathbf{H}_{f}\right)_{i, j}=\partial_{x_{i}} \partial_{x_{j}} f$ or

$$
\mathbf{H}_{f}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\partial_{x_{1}} \partial_{x_{1}} f & \cdots & \partial_{x_{1}} \partial_{x_{m}} f \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\partial_{x_{m}} \partial_{x_{1}} f & \cdots & \partial_{x_{m}} \partial_{x_{m}} f
\end{array}\right)
$$

Because (almost always) the order of differentiation does not matter, it is a symmetric matrix.

## 3 Methods for maximization and minimization problems

### 3.1 Analytical methods

Method 1 (Find stationary points). Given a function $f: D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, to find its maxima and minima we shall consider the points

- that are on the boundary ${ }^{2}$ of the domain $\partial D$,
- where the gradient $\boldsymbol{\nabla} f$ is not defined,
- that are stationary, i.e. where $\boldsymbol{\nabla} f=\mathbf{0}$.

Method 2 (Determine the type of stationary point for 2 dimensions). Given a scalar function of two variables $f(x, y)$ and a stationary point $\mathbf{x}_{s}$ (where $\left.\boldsymbol{\nabla} f\left(\mathbf{x}_{s}\right)=\mathbf{0}\right)$, we define the discriminant

$$
\Delta=\partial_{x}^{2} f \partial_{y}^{2} f-\partial_{y} \partial_{x} f
$$

- if $\Delta>0$ then $\mathbf{x}_{s}$ is an extrema, if $\partial_{x}^{2} f\left(\mathbf{x}_{s}\right)<0$ it is a maximum, whereas if $\partial_{x}^{2} f\left(\mathbf{x}_{s}\right)>0$ it is a minimum;
- if $\Delta<0$ then $\mathbf{x}_{s}$ is a saddle point;
- if $\Delta=0$ we need to analyze further.

Remark 2. The previous method is obtained by studying the second directional derivative $\nabla_{\mathbf{r}} \nabla_{\mathbf{r}} f$ at the stationary point in direction of a vector $\mathbf{r}=$ $\mathbf{e}_{1} \cos (\alpha)+\mathbf{e}_{2} \sin (\alpha)$.

[^1]Method 3 (Determine the type of stationary point in higher dimensions). Given a scalar function of multiple variables $f(\mathbf{x})$ and a stationary point $\mathbf{x}_{s}$ $\left(\boldsymbol{\nabla} f\left(\mathbf{x}_{s}\right)=\mathbf{0}\right)$, we compute the Hessian matrix $\mathbf{H}_{f}\left(\mathbf{x}_{s}\right)$ and its eigenvalues $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{m}$, then

- if all $\lambda_{i}>0$, the point is a minimum;
- if all $\lambda_{i}<0$, the point is a maximum;
- if there are both positive and negative eigenvalues, it is a saddle point.

In the other cases, when there are $\lambda_{i} \leq 0$ and/or $\lambda_{i} \geq 0$ further analysis is required.

Remark 3. Recall that to compute the eigenvalues of a matrix, one must solve the equation ( $\mathbf{H}-$ $\lambda \mathbf{I}) \mathbf{x}=\mathbf{0}$. Which can be done by solving the characteristic polynomial $\operatorname{det}(\mathbf{H}-\lambda \mathbf{I})=0$ to obtain $\operatorname{dim}(\mathbf{H}) \lambda_{i}$, which when plugged back in result in a overdetermined system of equations.

Method 4 (Quickly find the eigenvalues of a $2 \times 2$ matrix). This is a nice trick. For a square matrix H, let

$$
m=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr} \mathbf{H}=\frac{a+d}{2}, \quad p=\operatorname{det} \mathbf{H}=a d-b c
$$

then $\lambda_{1,2}=m \pm \sqrt{m^{2}-p}$.
Method 5 (Search for a constrained extremum in 2 dimensions). Let $n(x, y)=0$ be a constraint in the search of the extrema of a function $f: D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. To find the extrema we look for points

- on the boundary ${ }^{2} \mathbf{u} \in \partial D$ where $n(\mathbf{u})=0$;
- $\mathbf{u}$ where the gradient either does not exist or is $\mathbf{0}$, and satisfy $n(\mathbf{u})=0$;
- that solve the system of equations

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\partial_{x} f(\mathbf{u}) \cdot \partial_{y} n(\mathbf{u})=\partial_{y} f(\mathbf{u}) \cdot \partial_{x} n(\mathbf{u}) \\
n(\mathbf{u})=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Method 6 (Search for a constrained extremum in higher dimensions, method of Lagrange multipliers). We wish to find the extrema of $f: D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{m} \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{R}$ under $k<m$ constraints $n_{1}=0, \cdots, n_{k}=0$. To find the extrema we consider the following points:

- Points on the boundary ${ }^{2} \mathbf{u} \in \partial D$ that satisfy $n_{i}(\mathbf{u})=0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k$,
- Points $\mathbf{u} \in D$ where either
- any of $\boldsymbol{\nabla} f, \nabla n_{1}, \ldots, \nabla n_{k}$ do not exist, or
$-\nabla n_{1}, \ldots, \nabla n_{k}$ are linearly dependent,


Figure 1: Intuition for the method of Lagrange multipliers. Extrema of a constrained function are where $\nabla f$ is proportional to $\nabla n$.

$$
\text { and that satisfy } 0=n_{1}(\mathbf{u})=\ldots=n_{k}(\mathbf{u})
$$

- Points that solve the system of $m+k$ equations

$$
\begin{cases}\nabla f(\mathbf{u})=\sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_{i} \nabla n_{i}(\mathbf{u}) & (m \text {-dimensional) } \\ n_{i}(\mathbf{u})=0 & \text { for } 1 \leq i \leq k\end{cases}
$$

The $\lambda$ values are known as Lagrange multipliers. The same calculation can be written more compactly by defining the Lagrangian

$$
\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{u}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})=f(\mathbf{u})-\sum_{i=0}^{k} \lambda_{i} n_{i}(\mathbf{u})
$$

where $\boldsymbol{\lambda}=\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{k}$ and then solving the $m+$ $k$ dimensional equation $\boldsymbol{\nabla} \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{u}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})=\mathbf{0}$ (this is generally used in numerical computations and not very useful by hand).

### 3.2 Numerical methods

Method 7 (Newton's method). For a function $f$ : $\mathbb{R}^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ we wish to numerically find its stationary points (where $\boldsymbol{\nabla} f=\mathbf{0}$ ).

1. Pick a starting point $\mathbf{x}_{0}$
2. Set the linearisation ${ }^{3}$ of $\boldsymbol{\nabla} f$ at $\mathbf{x}_{k}$ to zero and solve for $\mathbf{x}_{k+1}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\boldsymbol{\nabla} f\left(\mathbf{x}_{k}\right)+\mathbf{H}_{f}\left(\mathbf{x}_{k}\right)\left(\mathbf{x}_{k+1}-\mathbf{x}_{k}\right)=\mathbf{0} \\
\mathbf{x}_{k+1}=\mathbf{x}_{k}-\mathbf{H}_{f}^{-1}\left(\mathbf{x}_{k}\right) \boldsymbol{\nabla} f\left(\mathbf{x}_{k}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

3. Repeat the last step until the magnitude of the error $|\boldsymbol{\epsilon}|=\left|\mathbf{H}_{f}^{-1}\left(\mathbf{x}_{k}\right) \boldsymbol{\nabla} f\left(\mathbf{x}_{k}\right)\right|$ is sufficiently small.
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Figure 2: Double integral.

Method 8 (Gradient ascent / descent). Given $f$ : $\mathbb{R}^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ we wish to numerically find the stationary points (where $\boldsymbol{\nabla} f=\mathbf{0}$ ).

1. Define an arbitrarily small length $\eta$ and a starting point $\mathbf{x}_{0}$
2. Compute $\mathbf{v}= \pm \boldsymbol{\nabla} f\left(\mathbf{x}_{k}\right)$ (positive for ascent, negative for descent), then $\mathbf{x}_{k+1}=$ $\mathbf{x}_{k}+\eta \mathbf{v}$ if the rate of change $\epsilon$ is acceptable $\left(\epsilon=\left|\nabla f\left(\mathbf{x}_{k+1}\right)\right|>0\right)$ else recompute $\mathbf{v}:= \pm \boldsymbol{\nabla} f\left(\mathbf{x}_{k+1}\right)$.
3. Stop when the rate of change $\epsilon$ stays small enough for many iterations.

## 4 Integration of vector valued scalar functions

Theorem 3 (Change the order of integration for double integrals). For a double integral over a region $S$ (see Fig. 2) we need to compute

$$
\iint_{S} f(x, y) d s=\int_{x_{1}}^{x_{2}} \int_{y_{1}(x)}^{y_{2}(x)} f(x, y) d y d x
$$

If $y_{1}(x)$ and $y_{2}(x)$ are bijective we can swap the order of integration by finding the inverse functions $x_{1}(y)$ and $x_{2}(y)$. If they are not bijective (like in Fig. 2), the region must be split into smaller parts. If the region is a rectangle it is always possible to change the order of integration.

Theorem 4 (Transformation of coordinates in 2 dimensions). Given two "nice" functions $x(u, v)$ and $y(u, v)$, that means are a bijection from $S$ to $S^{\prime}$ with continuous partial derivatives and nonzero Jacobian determinant $\left|\mathbf{J}_{f}\right|=\partial_{u} x \partial_{v} y-\partial_{v} x \partial_{u} y$, which transform the coordinate system. Then

$$
\iint_{S} f(x, y) d s=\iint_{S^{\prime}} f(x(u, v), y(u, v))\left|\mathbf{J}_{f}\right| d s
$$

|  | Volume $d v$ | Surface $d \mathbf{s}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Cartesian | - | $d x d y$ |
| Polar | - | $r d r d \phi$ |
| Curvilinear | - | $\left\|\mathbf{J}_{f}\right\| d u d v$ |
| Cartesian | $d x d y d z$ | $\hat{\mathbf{z}} d x d y$ |
| Cylindrical | $r d r d \phi d z$ | $\hat{\mathbf{z}} r d r d \phi$ |
|  |  | $\hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}} d r d z$ |
|  |  | $\hat{\mathbf{r}} r d \phi d z$ |
| Spherical | $r^{2} \sin \theta d r d \theta d \phi$ | $\hat{\mathbf{r}} r^{2} \sin \theta d \theta d \phi$ |
| Curvilinear | $\left\|\mathbf{J}_{f}\right\| d u d v d w$ | - |

Table 1: Differential elements for integration.

Theorem 5 (Transformation of coordinates). The generalization of theorem 4 is quite simple. For an $m$-integral of a function $f: \mathbb{R}^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ over a region $B$, we let $\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{u})$ be "nice" functions that transform the coordinate system. Then as before

$$
\int_{B} f(\mathbf{x}) d s=\int_{B^{\prime}} f(\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{u}))\left|\mathbf{J}_{f}\right| d s
$$

Application 4 (Physics). Given the mass $m$ and density function $\rho$ of an object, its center of mass is calculated with

$$
\mathbf{x}_{c}=\frac{1}{m} \int_{V} \mathbf{x} \rho(\mathbf{x}) d v \stackrel{\rho \text { const. }}{=} \frac{1}{V} \int_{V} \mathbf{x} d v
$$

The (scalar) moment of inertia $J$ of an object is given by

$$
J=\int_{V} \rho(\mathbf{r}) r^{2} d v
$$

## 5 Parametric curves and line integrals

Definition 7 (Parametric curve). A parametric curve is a vector function $\mathcal{C}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow W \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}, t \mapsto$ $\mathbf{f}(t)$, that takes a parameter $t$.

Definition 8 (Multivariable chain rule). Let $\mathbf{x}$ : $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m}$ and $f: \mathbb{R}^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, so that $f \circ \mathbf{x}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, then the multivariable chain rule states:

$$
\frac{d}{d t} f(\mathbf{x}(t))=\nabla f(\mathbf{x}(t)) \cdot \mathbf{x}^{\prime}(t)=\nabla_{\mathbf{x}^{\prime}(t)} f(\mathbf{x}(t))
$$

Theorem 6 (Signed area enclosed by a planar parametric curve). A planar (2D) parametric curve $(x(t), y(t))^{t}$ with $t \in[r, s]$ that does not intersect itself encloses a surface with area

$$
A=\int_{r}^{s} x^{\prime}(t) y(t) d t=\int_{r}^{s} x(t) y^{\prime}(t) d t
$$

Theorem 7 (Derivative of a curve). The derivative of a curve is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{f}^{\prime}(t) & =\lim _{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathbf{f}(t+h)-\mathbf{f}(t)}{h} \\
& =\sum_{i=0}^{n}\left(\lim _{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f_{i}(t+h)-f_{i}(t)}{h}\right) \mathbf{e}_{i} \\
& =\sum_{i=0}^{n} \frac{d f_{i}}{d t} \mathbf{e}_{i}=\left(\frac{d f_{1}}{d t}, \ldots, \frac{d f_{m}}{d t}\right)^{t}
\end{aligned}
$$

Definition 9 (Line integral in a scalar field). Let $\mathcal{C}:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}, t \mapsto \mathbf{x}(t)$ be a parametric curve. The line integral in a field $f(\mathbf{x})$ is the integral of the signed area under the curve traced in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, and is computed with

$$
\int_{\mathcal{C}} f(\mathbf{x}) d \ell=\int_{\mathcal{C}} f(\mathbf{x})|d \mathbf{x}|=\int_{a}^{b} f(\mathbf{x}(t))\left|\mathbf{x}^{\prime}(t)\right| d t
$$

Application 5 (Length of a parametric curve). By computing the line integral of the function $\mathbf{1}(t)=1$ we get the length of the parametric curve $\mathcal{C}:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$.

$$
\int_{\mathcal{C}} d \ell=\int_{\mathcal{C}}|d \mathbf{x}|=\int_{a}^{b} \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{\prime}(t)^{2}} d t
$$

In the special case with the scalar function $f(x)$ results in $\int_{a}^{b} \sqrt{1+f^{\prime}(x)^{2}} d x$

Definition 10 (Line integral in a vector field). The line integral in a vector field $\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x})$ is "sum" of the projections of the field's vectors on the tangent of the parametric curve $\mathcal{C}$.

$$
\int_{\mathcal{C}} \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{r}) \cdot d \mathbf{r}=\int_{a}^{b} \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{r}(t)) \cdot \mathbf{r}^{\prime}(t) d t
$$

Theorem 8 (Line integral in the opposite direction). By integrating while moving backwards $(-t)$ on the parametric curve gives

$$
\int_{-\mathcal{C}} \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{r}) \cdot d \mathbf{r}=-\int_{\mathcal{C}} \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{r}) \cdot d \mathbf{r}
$$

Definition 11 (Conservative field). A vector field is said to be conservative the line integral over a closed path is zero.

$$
\oint_{\mathcal{C}} \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{r}) \cdot d \mathbf{r}=0
$$

Theorem 9. For a twice partially differentiable vector field $\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x})$ in $n$ dimensions without "holes", i.e. in which each closed curve can be contracted to a point (simply connected open set), the following statements are equivalent:

- $\mathbf{F}$ is conservative
- $\mathbf{F}$ is path-independent
- $\mathbf{F}$ is a gradient field, i.e. there is a function $\phi$ called potential such that $\mathbf{F}=\boldsymbol{\nabla} \phi$
- $\mathbf{F}$ satisfies the condition $\partial_{x_{j}} F_{i}=\partial_{x_{i}} F_{j}$ for all $i, j \in\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$. In the 2D case $\partial_{x} F_{y}=$ $\partial_{y} F_{x}$, and in 3D

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\partial_{y} F_{x}=\partial_{x} F_{y} \\
\partial_{z} F_{y}=\partial_{y} F_{z} \\
\partial_{x} F_{z}=\partial_{z} F_{x}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Theorem 10. In a conservative field $\mathbf{F}$ with gradient $\phi$, using the multivariable the chain rule:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathcal{C}} \mathbf{F} \cdot d \mathbf{r} & =\int_{\mathcal{C}} \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{r}(t)) \cdot \mathbf{r}^{\prime}(t) d t \\
& =\int_{\mathcal{C}} \boldsymbol{\nabla} \phi(\mathbf{r}(t)) \cdot \mathbf{r}^{\prime}(t) d t \\
& =\int_{\mathcal{C}} \frac{d \phi(\mathbf{r}(t))}{d t} d t=\phi(\mathbf{r}(b))-\phi(\mathbf{r}(a))
\end{aligned}
$$

## 6 Surface integrals

## $7 \quad$ Vector analysis

## License

This work is licensed under a "CC BY-NC-SA 4.0" license.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ In matrix notation it is also often defined as row vector to avoid having to do some transpositions in the Jacobian matrix and dot products in directional derivatives

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ If it belongs to $f$.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ The gradient becomes a hessian matrix.

